



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

102

CWP-10919-1999 (O&M)
Date of decision: 04.03.2025

Gurdip Singh

...Petitioner

VERSUS

The State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present :- Mr. B.S. Patwalia, Advocate and
Mr. Abhishek Masih, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Ms. Tanisha Peshawaria, DAG Haryana

Mr. Harmeet Singh Oberoi, Advocate for respondent No.4.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

The instant writ petition was filed for directing the respondents to protect the pay of the petitioner on his appointment as A.D.A. Grade-II as per Rule 4.4 [c][1] of the Punjab Civil Services Rules, Vol. 1, Part 1, as applicable to Haryana and to count the service for calculation of grant of retiral benefits and arrears alongwith interest @18%.

Undisputedly, the grievance in the present case was nearly 25 years old as on the date when the writ petition was filed and a further period of nearly 25 years has elapsed since then. It seems unlikely that the petitioner would have any further interest in pursuing the present petition.



Mr. B.S. Patwalia, Advocate, while appearing on behalf of the petitioner contends that he has not been able to contact the petitioner and the letters sent by him have been received back. It seems that the petitioner may not be interested any further in pursuing the present writ petition.

Dismissed for non-prosecution.

Liberty is, however, granted to the petitioner to seek revival of the present petition, in case any such grievance still survives.

All pending civil misc. application(s), if any, stand disposed of.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

04.03.2025

Mangal Singh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No