



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

229

CRM-M-16787-2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision:- 21.04.2025

KARAMJIT SINGH @ KARMU @ KARAM SINGH

....Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present : Mr. Rajat Dogra, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajinder Singh Bhatta, DAG Punjab.

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

1. Status report dated 18.04.2025 filed in the form of an affidavit of Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub-Division Fazilka, District Fazilka, is taken on record. Copy thereof has been supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner.

2. Heard.

3. By way of present petition filed under Section 482 Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case FIR (Annexure P-1) as under:

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
08	24.01.2025	21 NDPS Act	Khui Khera, District Fazilka

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that in



compliance to the order dated 27.03.2025 passed by this Court, the petitioner has already joined the investigation and as such interim bail granted to the petitioner may be confirmed.

5. Learned State counsel, on instructions received from HC Hemant Kumar has intimated that the petitioner has joined investigation and is no more required for any custodial investigation in this case nor he is required for further investigation.

6. After hearing the respective submissions, it transpires that the petitioner is not named in the FIR and as per the prosecution case 50 grams of heroin was allegedly recovered from co-accused Rinku and Vinod Kumar and during their interrogation they named one Mandeep Singh and subsequently the name of the petitioner surfaced. As per the status report, the petitioner is not having any other case registered against him.

7. During the course of hearing on 27.03.2025, the following order was passed:-

“The Senior Most Investigator conducting the investigation in the FIR captioned above is to file/hand over the status report/instructions positively before the next date, failing which the concerned Superintendent of Police or an equivalent or higher Police Officer shall file/hand over their Reply/Response/Instructions/Status report by the extended date, and if it is still not filed, the concerned Inspector General of police shall have to file it.

The Reply/Response/Instructions/Status report shall also mention the following details under headings:

- A. The name and total weight of the drug.*
- B. The evidence based on which the petitioner was arraigned as an accused.*
- C. The evidence against the petitioner.*
- D. The role of the petitioner.*
- E. In case of dismissal of this petition, would the police arrest the petitioner in this FIR, and do they need the*



accused's police custody?

F. Petitioner's criminal antecedents, except the FIRs in which petitioner was absolved, discharged, or acquitted.

In the meantime, the petitioner's arrest shall remain stayed in the FIR captioned above until further orders subject to petitioner's joining investigation on 03.04.2025, 04.04.2025 and 05.04.2025 at 10.00 A.M. It is clarified that this is not an order of interim bail.

List on 21.04.2025."

8. Keeping in view the above submissions made by learned State counsel and the fact that the petitioner had joined the investigation consequent to the order dated 27.03.2025 passed by this Court, interim bail granted vide order dated 27.03.2025 is hereby confirmed, subject to conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) BNSS. Further the petitioner is directed to join investigation as and when required in future by way of written notice for such purpose to be served by Investigating Officer of this case upon the petitioner; he will not tamper with the evidence nor will influence the witnesses and will not leave the country without prior permission of the Court.

9. The petition stands allowed.

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

21.04.2025

S.Sharma(syr)

i)	Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
ii)	Whether reportable?	Yes/No