



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

151

CWP-8753-2025

Date of decision: 27.03.2025

JAGDEEP SINGH AND OTHERS

...PETITIONERS

Vs.

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: Mr. Nikhil Anand, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Aman Dhir, DAG, Punjab.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J (ORAL)

1. The petitioners through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India are claiming pay scale as per Revised Pay Scale Rules, 2009 (in short '2009 Rules').

2. The petitioners have joined service after July' 2020. The respondent has extended pay scale as per instructions dated 17.07.2020 (Annexure P-2) whereas petitioners are claiming that they should be paid pay scale as per 2009 Rules. This Court vide judgment dated 13.09.2024 passed in ***CWP-15896-2023, Saurabh Sharma and others vs. State of Punjab and another*** has held that instructions dated 17.07.2020 are contrary to the Punjab Medical Education (Group-A) Service Rules, 2016 (in short '2016 Rules'), thus, cannot sustain and employees are entitled to pay scale as per 2016 Rules.

3. Mr. Nikhil Anand, Advocate submits that respondents may be directed to consider their claim as per judgment of this Court in ***Saurabh Sharma (supra)***.



CWP-8753-2025

-2-

4. Mr. Aman Dhir, DAG, Punjab, who on advance notice is present in Court, submits that Competent Authority would consider and decide claim of petitioners in the light of judgment of this Court in *Saurabh Sharma (supra)*.
5. The needful shall be done within 6 months from today.
6. Learned counsel for the petitioners agrees with the aforesaid arrangement.
7. In the wake of statement of both sides, the present petition stands disposed of.

27.03.2025
manoj

[JAGMOHAN BANSAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No