



RSA-768-1999

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(216)

RSA-768-1999

Date of Decision:-09.12.2025

Satnam Singh

.....Appellant

Versus

Darshan Singh

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: None for the appellant.

None for the respondent.

AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (Oral)

1. As per report of the Registry, notice issued to the sole respondent has been received back duly served.
2. There is no representation on behalf of the appellant as well as the respondent. On the previous date of hearing, notice issued to the appellant received back unserved due to incomplete address. Despite opportunities granted, no steps have been taken by the appellant to pursue the present appeal. The appellant remained unrepresented on 25.07.2025 and 30.10.2025, and even today, no one has put in appearance on his behalf.
3. It appears that the appellant is not interested in pursuing the appeal.
4. Accordingly, the appeal is dismissed in default for want of prosecution.



RSA-768-1999

5. However, liberty is granted to the appellant to seek revival of
the appeal in case anything worthwhile survives for consideration.
6. Pending application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

(AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

09.12.2025
Shubham

Whether speaking/reasoned:-	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:-	Yes/No