



CRM-M-17106-2025

1

228

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-17106-2025

Date of decision: 16.05.2025

SUMAN GODARA

....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI

Present: Mr. Kunal Dawar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Nidhi Garg, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Balvinder Sangwan, Advocate
for the complainant

AMARJOT BHATTI, J.

Petitioner has filed present petition under Section 483 of BNSS, for grant of regular bail in FIR No.693, dated 05.12.2024, under Section 80 of BNS (Sections 304-B of IPC), 3(5) of BNS (34 of IPC), registered at Police Station Mujessar, Faridabad, Haryana.

2. As per facts of the case, complainant Shishupal filed complaint that he retired from BSF. His two daughters Sonia and Ruchi got married with Charan Singh alias Yogesh and Sonu, respectively. He had given dowry more than his financial capacity. They were unhappy with the dowry articles. Charan Singh and Sonu raised demand for Creta car and for this reason, his daughters were being harassed and physically assaulted by their husbands and members of in-laws family i.e. father-in-law Puran, mother-in-law Suman and sisters-in-law Rachna and Nisha. Both daughters came to parental house and stayed there for about 6



months. After counselling, about 20 days ago they were sent back to their matrimonial home. They continued with their illegal demand for dowry and harassed them. On 05.12.2024, he received call from Sonia to reach her matrimonial home quickly as they would kill her. After about 1/1 and half hour, maternal uncle of the victim informed Sonia has passed away and she was in Fortis Hospital. The complainant alleged that his daughter has been killed by the accused persons for their greed of dowry.

3. Learned counsel for petitioner argued that Suman Godara is old mother-in-law. Allegations levelled against her and others are false. There was no maltreatment in the matrimonial home, nor there was any demand for dowry. During investigation, Nisha and Rachna were found to be innocent. Challan (Annexure P-2) has been presented against the petitioner and her son Charan Singh alias Yogesh. As per postmortem report (Annexure P-3), there was no mark of injury on the body of the victim. There might be temperamental differences between the husband and the wife. At least, petitioner had no role to play. Trial in this case is likely to take long time. She is ready to abide by the terms and conditions of bail order. Therefore, her regular bail application may be allowed.

4. Bail application is opposed by learned counsel representing State assisted by learned counsel representing the complainant. There are specific serious allegations, against the present petitioner. The deceased victim died unnatural death in the matrimonial home. As per postmortem report (Annexure R-1) the doctor opined that cause of death was asphyxia as a result of constriction force around the neck by ligature. Co-accused Charan Singh @ Yogesh was arrested on 06.12.2024 whereas present petitioner was arrested after recording disclosure statement of present

**CRM-M-17106-2025****3**

petitioner dated 26.02.2025 (Annexure R-2). Challan is presented on 05.03.2025 and the charges are yet to be framed. Considering the gravity of offence bail application filed by the petitioner is strongly opposed.

5. I have considered the arguments and have gone through the record carefully. The victim got married with main accused Charan Singh alias Yogesh on 24.11.2023. In this case two daughters were married to two brothers. The unfortunate occurrence took place on 05.12.2024, when father of the deceased victim received information that his daughter Sonia had passed away. It is a case of unnatural death. As per the postmortem report (Annexure R-1), the doctor gave opinion that cause of death was asphyxia as a result of constriction force around the neck by ligature. The trial is at initial stage. Allegations are serious in nature. Even, charge sheet has not been framed, thereafter the statement of complainant and other material witnesses will be recorded. Therefore, at this stage, I do not find a fit case for grant of regular bail and the same is accordingly declined.

(AMARJOT BHATTI)
JUDGE

16.05.2025
monika

- | | | |
|-------------------------------|---|---------|
| 1. Whether speaking/ reasoned | : | Yes /No |
| 2. Whether reportable | : | Yes /No |