



295 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-16721-2025 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 28.04.2025

SANDEEP WADHWA

...Petitioner

V/S

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR**

Present: Mr. Sachin Kalia, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rishabh Singla, AAG Punjab.

Mr. Amit Sharma, Advocate for  
Mr. Harmeet Singh, Advocate for respondent No. 2.

\*\*\*\*

**HARPREET SINGH BRAR J. (Oral)**

1. This petition has been filed under Section 528 BNSS seeking quashing of FIR No.53 dated 27.03.2024 registered under Sections 63, 65 of the Copyright Act, 1957, Sections 103, 104 of the Trade Marks Act, 1999 at Police Station Division No.6, District Police Commissionerate Jalandhar, on the basis of compromise dated 27.10.2024, along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom.

2. The following order was passed on 27.03.2025:

*“This petition has been filed under Section 528 BNSS seeking quashing of FIR No.53 dated 27.03.2024 registered under Sections 63, 65 of the Copyright Act, 1957, Sections 103, 104 of the Trade Marks Act, 1999 at Police Station Division No.6, District Police Commissionerate Jalandhar, on the basis of compromise dated 27.10.2024, along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom.*

*Notice of motion for 28.04.2025.*

*At this stage, on asking of the Court, Mr. Subhash Godara, Addl. A.G., Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1 – State and Mr. Harmeet Singh, Advocate accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.2 and files Vakalatnama, which is taken on record. Copy of the paper book be supplied to them during the course of day.*

*Service is complete.*



CRM-M-16721-2025 (O&amp;M)

-2-

*In the meanwhile, the parties are directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate within two weeks from today or any other date convenient to the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate, to get their statements recorded regarding compromise and after recording their statements, learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate is directed to send report regarding the genuineness of compromise and also to intimate whether any PO proceedings are pending against any of the party on or before the date fixed i.e. 28.04.2025.*

*A copy of the order be sent to learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate through fax for compliance.”*

3. In compliance of the aforesaid order, a report has been received from the concerned jurisdictional Court that the compromise between the parties is genuine and arrived at without any pressure or coercion from anyone.

4. In view of the compromise and the ratio of law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, (2014) 6 SCC 466** and **Shakuntala Sawhney (Mrs) Vs. Kaushalya (Mrs.) and others (1980) 1 SCC 63** and Full Bench of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab 2007 (3) RCR (Crl.) 1052**, this petition is allowed and FIR No.53 dated 27.03.2024 registered under Sections 63, 65 of the Copyright Act, 1957, Sections 103, 104 of the Trade Marks Act, 1999 at Police Station Division No.6, District Police Commissionerate Jalandhar along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom are quashed, qua the petitioner.

28.04.2025  
Ajay Goswami

**(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)**  
**JUDGE**

*Whether speaking/reasoned*  
*Whether reportable*

*Yes/No*  
*Yes/No*