



CWP-9382-2020

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

237

CWP-9382-2020

Date of Decision: 17.01.2025

Ramesh Chand

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: - Mr. Naveen Daryal, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. B.R. Mahajan Senior Advocate with
Mr. Parteek Mahajan, Advocate for the respondents

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to the respondents to regularize his service as per policy of 2011.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner joined respondent on 02.08.2005. He was terminated and thereafter, reinstated as per award dated 09.01.2014 (Annexure P-1) passed by Labour Court and since then he is in service. He is entitled to regularization as per policy of 2011 which is still in force.

3. Mr. B.R. Mahajan, Senior Advocate, on the asking of Court, confirms that policy of 2011 is still in force and it was made as per judgment of Supreme Court in *Secretary, State of Karnataka v. Uma Devi, (2006) 4 SCC 1*. The case of the petitioner would be considered as per said policy. If the petitioner is found eligible to regularization and other benefits, he would be extended the same within six months from today.

**CWP-9382-2020****-2-**

4. In the wake of statement of learned Senior counsel for the respondents, the present petition stands disposed of.

5. It is made clear that if the petitioner is found eligible for regularization as per policy of 2011, he shall not be entitled to arrears.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

17.01.2025*Mohit Kumar*

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No