

*CRM-M-17060-2025*

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

229

*CRM-M-17060-2025***Date of Decision: 07.05.2025****ROHIT ALIAS ROHIT TANWAR****...PETITIONER***Versus***STATE OF HARYANA****.....RESPONDENT****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL.**

Present: Mr. Mohit Kumar, Advocate for the petitioner.

H.S.GREWAL, J (ORAL)

1. This petition has been filed for regular bail under Section 483 of BNSS in case FIR No. 128 dated 06.06.2021 under Sections 148,149,323,325,341,506,509 of IPC registered at Police Station Siwan, District Kaithal.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the case of the prosecution is that the present petitioner along with five other co-accused have attacked the complainant and the present petitioner was having a stick and hit on the left leg below the knee of the complainant while the other co-accused have caused various injuries to the complainant. Thereafter, the petitioner had absconded and was declared as proclaimed offender. He was arrested on 17.02.2025 on being deported by the US Government. The injuries attributed to the petitioner are simple in nature. The petitioner is in custody since 17.02.2025.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Parveen Kumar Aggarwal, DAG, Haryana accepts notice



CRM-M-17060-2025

2

on behalf of the respondent-State. Learned State counsel has filed the custody certificate in the Court today, which is taken on record. As per custody certificate dated 02.04.2025 the petitioner is in custody for 01 month and 16 days and he is not involved in any other case. He fairly admits that the petitioner is in custody since 17.02.2025.

5. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

6. In view of the above submission of learned counsels, since the trial is yet to commence and the same is likely to take time, the fact that the petitioner is in custody for the last 02 months and 20 days and continuous detention of the petitioner would not serve the ends of justice, I deem it a fit case to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner during the pendency of the trial.

7. Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bail bonds, surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate concerned.

8. It is clarified that if on bail, so granted through the instant order, the applicant is found indulging in any other criminal case it shall be open to the State to seek cancellation of his bail.

07.05.2025.

renu

(H.S.GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No