



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(211)

RSA-627-1999 (O&M)
Date of Decision:-**11.08.2025**

SURJIT SINGH AND ANOTHER

... Appellants

Versus

GURBACHAN SINGH AND OTHERS

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIRINDER AGGARWAL

Present:- None for the appellants.

Mr. Vikrant Kondal, Advocate for
Mr. M.K. Garg, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

VIRINDER AGGARWAL, J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for respondent No.2 submits that nobody has contacted on behalf of respondent No.2 and learned counsel has intimated respondent No.2 through a letter, which has been received back undelivered.
2. As per report of office, appellants No.1, 3 and 7 has been received back with the report of incomplete addresses, respondents No.3, 6, 8 and 9 are reported to be dead.
3. Since none is appearing on behalf of the appellants and the complete/correct addresses of the appellants are not available with this Court. Consequently there is no other option before the Court except to dismiss the appeal for want of prosecution.



4. In view of the above and given the prevailing circumstances, there seems to be no justification to keep the appeal on the docket, indefinitely. Accordingly, the instant appeal stands dismissed for want of prosecution with liberty to the appellants to revive the same, if have any grievance from this order, within a period of three months from today.

5. Since the main case has been decided, pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, stands also disposed of.

11.08.2025
Gaurav Sorot

(VIRINDER AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned / speaking?	Yes / No
Whether reportable?	Yes / No