



CWP-8749-2025

**115 IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT
AT CHANDIGARH**CWP-8749-2025
Decided on:27.03.2025

Om Parkash

.... Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYAPresent: Mr. Ram Pal Verma, Advocate
for the petitioner.**Tribhuvan Dahiya, J. (Oral)**

The petition has been filed seeking a writ of *certiorari* quashing the office order dated 11.03.2025, Annexure P-8, whereby the petitioner's candidature for the post of Electronics Mechanic Instructor (Practical) Category No.32, has been rejected for want of requisite experience for the post.

2. Apparently, as per Group C Service Rules, 2013, requisite experience for the post of Electronics Mechanic Instructor is, '*Experience should be in the concerned trade/field in an industrial establishment covered under Apprentices Act or in a recognized technical institution.*'

3. Undisputedly, the petitioner has worked in B.Ed. College as Science Laboratory Attendant. Since the College is not a Technical Institution, the experience gained there was not considered valid for the post in question.

4. The only contention raised by learned counsel for the petitioner is that the petitioner's experience in Science Laboratory should be considered valid for the post in question as per the Rules.

5. Science Laboratory in a College of Education cannot be termed an Industrial Establishment covered under the Apprenticeship Act, nor is the



CWP-8749-2025

College a recognized Technical Institute. Accordingly, no exception can be taken to the impugned order rejecting the petitioner’s candidature for want of requisite experience.

6. In view thereof, the petition stands dismissed *in limine*.

27.03.2025
sonia

(TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/non-speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No