

**IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT
CHANDIGARH.**

278

2025:PHHC:129564



**CRM-M-17592-2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 17.09.2025.**

SATNAM SINGH AND ANOTHER ... Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER ... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ.

PRESENT: Mr. Arpan Sabharwal, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Jatin Kundu, AAG, Punjab.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J (ORAL)

The present petition has been filed under Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, for seeking quashing of FIR bearing No.125 dated 01.10.2022, under Section(s) 406, 420 and 120-B of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, and Section 13 of the Punjab Travel Professionals (Regulation) Act, 2014, registered at Police Station Navi Baradari, District Jalandhar along with all the consequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of settlement arrived at between the petitioners and respondent No.2.

Vide order dated 01.04.2025, the parties were directed to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court to get their statements recorded and a report was also called for from the trial Court.

Vide Memo No.274 dated 21.08.2025, it has been reported by the Judicial Magistrate First Class, Jalandhar that the complainant has not appeared to get his statement recorded in support of the settlement.

Since the present petition was filed only on the basis of settlement arrived at between the petitioners and complainant and the complainant has failed to appear before the trial Court to consent to the settlement, the present petition is dismissed at this stage.

September 17, 2025.
raj arora

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No