



1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

215

RSA-5040-1999 (O&M)

Decided on: 28.10.2025

ROSHAN LAL

.....Appellant

Versus

GENERAL PUBLIC

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MANDEEP PANNU

Present: None for the appellant(s).

Respondent No.1 ex parte.

Service of respondent Nos.2 to 7 dispensed with.

Mr. Sukhandeep Singh, Advocate for LR's of respondent No.8.

MANDEEP PANNU, J.

Vide order dated 17.09.2025 passed by Coordinate Bench of this Court, Mr. N.D. Achint, Advocate representing the appellant had expired and it was directed to issue notice(s) to the appellant(s).

As per office report, appellant Nos.1, 4, 11, 12, 15, 16 have died. Notices issued to appellant Nos.2(i), 2(ii) have been received back unserved with report that no such person was found at the given address. Further, appellant Nos.3, 5 to 9 have been served through brother and appellant Nos.10, 13 and 14 have been served through nephew.

However, there is no representation on behalf of the appellants.

Accordingly, the present appeal stands dismissed for non-prosecution.

Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

(MANDEEP PANNU)
JUDGE

28.10.2025

Sonia Puri