



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

COC-1540-2025(O&M)
Date of decision: 12.08.2025

John George

... Petitioner

Versus

Ahmad Raza Sarwar and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL

Present: Mr. Anish Babbar, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Shiny Chopra, AAG, Punjab.

VIKRAM AGGARWAL, J. (ORAL)

By way of the present contempt petition, preferred under Sections 10 & 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, the petitioner alleges willful disobedience of order dated 29.01.2024 (Annexure P-1) passed in CWP-17084-2023.

2. Vide order dated 29.01.2024 (**ibid**), the following directions were issued:

“10. In the wake of above discussion and findings, the present petition deserves to be allowed and accordingly allowed. The impugned order dated 04.05.2015 (Annexure P-4) and order dated 25.09.2020 (Annexure P-5) are hereby set aside.

11. It is made clear that petitioner would not be entitled to salary for the past period on the principle of no work no pay, though, he would be entitled to other service benefits.”



3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that although the other service benefits, as referred to in paragraph 11 of the aforesaid order, have been paid, the respondents have failed to release the requisite amount for a period of six months, i.e. from 12.02.2024 to 27.08.2024.
4. The present contempt petition is disposed of, for the order dated 29.01.2024 stands complied with. However, with respect to any deductions, which, as per learned counsel for petitioner, ought not to have been made, the petitioner would be at liberty to agitate the same before the respondent authorities, and avail all such remedies as shall be admissible in law.
5. Rule is discharged.

(Vikram Aggarwal)
Judge

August 12, 2025
Rajan

Whether speaking / reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No