



CWP-9923-2019 (O&M)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(215)

CWP-9923-2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 23.01.2025

Neha Puri ...Petitioner

Versus

Sarv Haryana Gramin Bank and another ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Mr. Ashutosh Kaushik, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Anurag Jain, Advocate for the respondents.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J.(ORAL)

1. The instant petition is a misconceived motion, inasmuch as, despite the petitioner being seized of an alternate and efficacious statutory remedy for redressal of her grievance, and without approaching the competent authorities concerned, has sidetracked the said remedy, and has straightway accessed this Court, through instituting the instant writ petition.
2. In such circumstances, this Court refrains from exercising its power, as envisaged under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India. Accordingly, the instant writ petition is **dismissed**.
3. However, liberty is reserved to the petitioner to approach the competent authorities concerned, for the relief, as sought in the instant writ petition.
4. Pending applications, if any, stand disposed of accordingly.

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

January 23, 2025
Manpreet