



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

272

CRM-M-33990-2017

Date of Decision : November 19, 2025

RATNA AND ORS.

.....Petitioners

VERSUS

RAJBIR AND ORS.

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present : Mr. Ajay K. Yadav, Advocate for
Mr. R.N.Lohan, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. V.D.Sharma, Advocate and
Mr. Vishesh Sharma, Advocate for the respondents.

Mr. H.S.Randhawa, Advocate as *Amicus Curiae*.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J. (Oral)

1. The prayer in the present petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. is for quashing of order dated 20.06.2017 (Annexure P-2) passed by Sub Divisional Magistrate, Safidon and the judgment dated 25.08.2017 (Annexure P-4) passed by the Additional Sessions Judge, Jind.

2. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners contends that initially, a receiver was appointed vide order dated 20.06.2017 (Annexure P-2). Against the said order, the petitioners approached the Sessions Court and vide judgment dated 25.08.2017 (Annexure P-4), the revision filed by the petitioners was dismissed. The present petition has been filed challenging the aforementioned orders. Meanwhile this Court had directed that the partition proceedings be concluded within eight weeks from 12.08.2025. Since the said

proceedings have been concluded, the impugned orders be set-aside and the parties would be at liberty to take possession of their respective shares as per the partition ordered.

3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents and the learned *Amicus Curiae* have not disputed the factual position that the partition proceedings have culminated and the parties are at liberty to take possession of their shares in terms of the said partition.

4. In view of the contentions raised, the impugned orders dated 20.06.2017 (Annexure P-2) and dated 25.08.2017 (Annexure P-4) are quashed. The parties are at liberty to take possession of their respective shares in terms of the partition ordered by Assistant Collector IInd Grade, Pilukhera, District Jind. The SHO concerned shall ensure that possession be handed over in terms of the said order subject to the revision which has been filed against the order.

5. Disposed of.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

November 19, 2025

ajaysharma

Whether speaking/reasoned. : Yes/No

Whether Reportable. : Yes/No