



225

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-16977-2025

Date of decision: 29.07.2025

Amjat Salmani

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR**Present:** Mr. Bhavesh Aggarwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Kumar, DAG, Punjab.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J. (ORAL)

This petition has been filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking anticipatory bail in case bearing FIR
No.35 dated 27.02.2025 under Section 21 of the NDPS Act (Section 29 of
NDPS Act, 1985 added later on) registered at Police Station Division No.6,
District Ludhiana City.

On 27.03.2025, the following order was passed:-

*'Petitioner is seeking the concession of anticipatory bail in
FIR No.35 dated 27.02.2025 under Sections 21 and 29 of the NDPS
Act, 1985, registered at Police Station Division No.6, District
Ludhiana City.*

*Learned counsel for the petitioner, inter alia, contends that the
petitioner has no previous criminal antecedents, which points to his
false implication in the present case. He has been nominated as
accused in the present case on the basis of a disclosure statement
allegedly suffered by co-accused Akhil, from whom a recovery of four
grams of heroin was made. Learned counsel has emphasized upon the
weak evidentiary value of the disclosure statement, coupled with the
fact that he would have had no occasion whatsoever to supply heroin
to co-accused when it is a matter of record that previously he has
never been involved in any case under the NDPS Act. Furthermore, it
has been asserted by the learned counsel that co-accused Akhil, from
whom the alleged recovery of four grams of heroin was made, has
already been granted the concession of regular bail by the learned
trial Court.*

Notice of motion.

*On the asking of the Court, Mr. H.S. Deol, Senior Deputy
Advocate General, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent.
Adjourned to 29.07.2025.*



CRM-M-16977-2025

-2-

Meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation and appear before the investigating agency as and when called upon to do so. In the event of his arrest, he shall be admitted to interim bail on his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall abide by the terms and conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) BNSS/438(2) Cr.P.C.'

Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Jaswant Singh, at the very outset informs the Court that the petitioner has joined the investigation and his custodial interrogation is not required.

In view of the statement of learned State counsel, order dated 27.03.2025 is hereby made absolute. The petitioner shall abide by the terms and conditions envisaged under Section 482(2) of BNSS (earlier Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.).

The petition stands disposed of.

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

29.07.2025

Neha

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No