



CRM-M-16934-2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

315

CRM-M-16934-2025
Decided on :03.12.2025

Lakhvir Singh @ Lakhi

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: None for the petitioner

Mr. Ravneet Singh Lekhi, AAG Punjab

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (ORAL)

1. Instant petition has been filed under Section 528 of BNSS, seeking quashing of the below detailed First Information Report (FIR), and all the consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of the compromise dated 10.03.2025 (Annexure P-2), effected between the parties.

DETAILS OF CRIMINAL CASE:-

FIR No.	Date	Section(s)	Police Station
112	26.07.2022	452, 336 IPC and Section 25/27 of Arms Act	Sangat, District Bathinda

2. As per report dated 07.05.2025, submitted by learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Bathinda, there is only one accused involved in the FIR and also one complainant/victim. Yet, looking at the FIR, it is noticed that allegation against Lakhbir Singh is of entering the house of one Avtar Singh and thereupon started firing and started hurling abuses to them.

Said Avtar Singh is not party to the present petition, nor there is any



CRM-M-16934-2025

2

explanation coming forward from any one in regard to his non-impleadment.

3. On 22.05.2022, said fact was noticed and thereafter a direction to implead Avtar Singh was issued.

4. On 30.09.2025, it was noticed that direction has not been complied with and following order was passed:

“Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Iqbalpreet Singh, AAG, Punjab.

None for respondent No.2.

i) On 22.05.2025, following order was passed:

“ It is noticed that Avtar Singh is also one of the victim party because incident had taken place inside his house. His statement has not been recorded inadvertently and in the report also, it is mentioned that there is no other effective party.

Let Avtar Singh be impleaded as respondent.

List again on 31.07.2025.”

Neither the said order has been complied with, nor there is any representation on behalf of the parties.

ii) *In the interest of justice, adjourned to 03.12.2025.”*

5. Today, no one has come present to assist the Court.

6. The petition is dismissed for want of prosecution.

03.12.2025

reena

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: YES/NO

Whether Reportable: YES/NO