



**116 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**RSA-3923-2000(O&M)
Date of decision: 14.01.2025**

Ranjit Singh

..Appellant

Versus

Labh Singh

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. K.S.Sidhu, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Gurpreet Singh Gurna, Advocate for the appellant

Mr. G.S.Punia, Senior Advocate with
Ms. Harveen Kaur, Advocate for the respondent

* * * *

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (Oral)

1. This Regular Second Appeal has been filed by the defendant against the judgment passed by the First Appellate Court, which in turn, has reversed the judgment and decree passed by the trial court. Sh.Ranjit Singh (appellant) was owner of the property. He executed an agreement to sell in favour of Sh.Labh Singh. Subsequently, Sh.Labh Singh filed a suit for the grant of decree of permanent injunction restraining the defendant from interfering in his peaceful possession in any manner. The trial court dismissed the suit, however, the First Appellate Court reversed the decree passed by the trial court, resulting in decree for permanent injunction in favour of Sh.Labh Singh.

2. Learned counsel representing the parties are ad idem that Sh.Labh Singh's suit for specific performance of the agreement to sell has



RSA-3923-2000(O&M)

been decreed and in pursuance thereto the sale deed in favour of Sh.Labh Singh has been executed and registered on 26.12.2013. Photocopies of judgment passed in civil suit no.180/12.4.2007 decided on 16.11.2010 and sale deed dated 26.12.2013 have been produced. They are taken on record as mark 'C-1' and 'C-2'.

3. The correctness of the aforesaid facts is not disputed by the learned counsel representing the appellant.

4. In view of the aforesaid development, the present appeal is rendered infructuous and the same is disposed of as such.

5. All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

14.01.2025

rekha

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No