



239

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-16469-2025
Date of Decision:01.04.2025**

GAUTAM

...PETITIONER

VS.

STATE OF HARYANA

...RESPONDENT

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat

Present : Mr. Kushager Goyal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajiv Sidhu, DAG, Haryana.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 483 of BNSS, 2023 with a prayer to grant regular bail to him in case FIR No.213 dated 13.08.2024, registered under Sections 406, 420 & 506 IPC, 1860 Police Station Ding, Sirsa, District Sirsa.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the only allegation against the present petitioner is that his father had introduced the father of the complainant to the co-accused and no other role has been assigned to him. He further submits that the financial transactions had taken place between the complainant and Naveen Verma, co-accused and the petitioner had no concern with the same. He further submits that co-accused Naveen Verma and Manohar Lal Soni have been granted the concession of anticipatory bail and regular bail



respectively. The petitioner was arrested in the present case on 01.01.2025 and challan has already been presented against him.

3. On the other hand, learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground that serious allegations have been levelled against the present petitioner and he does not deserve the concession of bail by this Court.

4. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

5. It is not in dispute that all the offences in the present case triable by the Court of Magistrate and the petitioner is in custody since last more than 03 months; even the challan has been presented against him. Thus, further custody of the petitioner may not serve any useful purpose.

6. Without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate, concerned.

01.04.2025
vipin

(N.S. SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No