



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR No.2305 of 2023(O&M)

Date of Order:07.04.2025

Vijay Kumar and others

.Petitioners

Versus

Bhana Ram alias Shana Ram and others

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate and
Mr. Abhinav Sood, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Geeta Rani, Advocate
for respondent no.25

ANIL KSHETARPAL, JUDGE (Oral)

1. The plaintiffs assail the correctness of the trial court's order dated 15.02.2023, dismissing their application under Order VI Rule 17 CPC in order to amend the plaint to correct inadvertent errors.
2. The trial court dismissed the application on the ground that the case is now at the stage of rebuttal and final arguments and hence the application cannot be allowed.
3. It has been noticed that before the trial court only the Gram Panchayat (defendant no.27) is contesting the suit.
4. The learned counsel representing the petitioners contends that the plaintiffs want to carry out the following corrections:-

“ii) At page No.6 in line No.11 the number of the sale deed has been mentioned wrongly as '269' instead of '1069' and the same is required to be corrected as 'sale deed no.1069'.



iii) *At page No.7 in 1st line of para No.7, the words 'plaintiff No.13 to 20' has been wrongly written instead of 'plaintiffs No.13 to 15' and similarly in the next line words plaintiff No.'21' has been written wrongly instead of plaintiff no,'16'.*

In the next line the sale deed number has been mentioned wrongly as '269' instead of '1069' and the same is required to be corrected as sale deed no.'1069'.

iv) *At page no.7 in 1st line of para no.8 the words 'plaintiff no.22 to to 26' has been wrongly written instead of 'plaintiffs no.17 to 21'.*

v) *At page no.7 in 1st line of para no.9, the words 'plaintiff no.27 and 28' has been wrongly written instead of 'plaintiffs no.22 and 23'.*

vi) *At page no.9 in 8th line of para no.13, the date of the sale deed no.1485 has been wrongly written as 'dated 07.04.1957' instead of dated '04.07.1957' and the same is required to be corrected accordingly as '04.07.1957'.*

vii) *At page no.20 in prayer para in its sub-para no.3) line no.1st the words 'plaintiff no.13 to 20' has been wrongly written instead of plaintiffs no.13 to 15 and further in the same line words plaintiff no.'21' has been written wrongly instead of plaintiff no.'16'*

viii) *At page no.20 in prayer para in tis sub-para no.4) line no.1st the words 'plaintiff no.22 to 26' has been wrongly written instead of 'plaintiffs no.17 to 21'.*

ix) *At page no.20 in prayer para in its sub-para no.3) line no.1st the words 'plaintiff no.27 and 28' has been wrongly written instead of 'plaintiffs no.22 and 23'.*”

5. It is evident that the aforesaid mistakes are printing errors and can be permitted to be corrected, at any stage, even after the disposal of the suit. These amendments do not affect the dispute involved in the suit.

6. The trial court has taken a very narrow view of the matter.



Such printing errors can be permitted to be corrected under Section 152, 153 read with Section 151 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908. The suit is pending in the court of first instance. The court should have permitted the plaintiffs to carry out the corrections.

7. This court has been informed that the suit is at the stage of final arguments, hence the plaintiffs will be permitted to file the amended plaint.

8. Since, the evidence has already been led, hence, the court will proceed to decide the case. The corresponding mistakes in the affidavit filed by the plaintiff in his evidence shall be deemed to have been corrected.

9. With these observations, the revision petition is allowed.

10. All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

April 07, 2025
nt

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No