



CWP-9375-2025 (O&M)

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

121

CWP-9375-2025**Date of Decision: 03.04.2025**

Vikas Garg

.....Petitioner

Vs.

Union of India and another

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUDEEPTI SHARMA**

Present: Ms. Shivani Sethi, Advocate, for
Mr. Vishav Bharti Gupta, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Saurabh Goel, Senior Standing Counsel,
for the respondents.

SUDEEPTI SHARMA, J.

1. The present writ petition is preferred under Article 226 of the Constitution of India against the show cause notice dated 18.07.2024 (Annexure P-1) and order-in-original dated 17.12.2024 (Annexure P-2).

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the show cause notice dated 18.07.2024 (Annexure P-1) as well as order-in-original dated 17.12.2024 (Annexure P-2) are bad in the eyes of law since the penalty has been imposed on the non-existing Director i.e. the petitioner, therefore, are liable to be set aside.

3. On receipt of advance copy of the petition, learned counsel for the respondents contends that the order-in-original dated 17.12.2024 (Annexure P-2) is appealable.



CWP-9375-2025 (O&M)

-2-

4. After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks to withdraw the present writ petition with liberty to file an appeal before the appropriate forum. She further requests that she be allowed to file an appeal by physical mode.

5. The request made by the learned counsel for the petitioner is not opposed by the learned counsel for the respondents.

6. In view of the above, the present writ petition is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to the petitioner to file an appeal against the order-in-original dated 17.12.2024 (Annexure P-2) in physical mode before the appropriate forum.

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

(SUDEEPTI SHARMA)
JUDGE

03.04.2025
Virrendra

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes / No
Whether reportable:	Yes / No