



CRM-M-16443-2025

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

134

**CRM-M-16443-2025
Decided on : 26.03.2025**

Jagraj Singh @ Jugraj Singh

. . . Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

. . . Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

PRESENT: Mr. Kushagra Mahajan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Amandeep Singh, DAG, Punjab.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

1. Present petition has been filed under Section 528 of BNSS, seeking quashing of GDD No.23 (P-2) dated 27.12.2024, registered at Police Station Ramdas, District Amritsar Rural in FIR No.140 dated 23.12.2024 (P-1), under Section 125, 3(5) of BNS of 2023, under Sections 25 and 27 Arms Act, registered at Police Station Ramdas, District Amritsar Rural, as well as all consequential proceedings arising therefrom.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that there is an improper and misdirected investigation conducted by the investigation officer because while granting anticipatory bail to the petitioner, learned Sessions Judge, Amritsar has noticed the statement given by the complainant in FIR, wherein he clearly stated that it was not the petitioner namely; Jugraj Singh who was present on spot as third person.

3. To the view point of this Court, such a plea cannot be raised by



CRM-M-16443-2025

- 2 -

accused before this Court at this stage especially when the investigation is still underway.

4. In view of the law laid down by Hon'ble Apex Court in '*Sakiri Vasu VS. State of U.P.*', 2008(1) RCR (Criminal)392, petitioner can avail his appropriate remedy at appropriate stage before appropriate forum in accordance with law.

5. Disposed of in the above terms.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

March 26, 2025

Rashmi

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No