



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

112

CRWP-2995-2025**Date of decision: 26.03.2025****ASHA DEVI AND ANOTHER**

....Petitioners

V/s

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL

Present: Mr. Deepak Kumar Bartia, Advocate, for the petitioners.

H.S.GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

1. Prayer in the instant petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India read with Section 528 of the Bhartiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, is for issuance of directions to respondents No.2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of private respondents No.4 to 6, who are averse to their live in relationship

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioner No.1 has been in a live in relationship with petitioner No.2 since 19.03.2025. The private respondents have been extending threats of dire consequences to the petitioners as they are averse to their live in relationship. While drawing the attention of this Court to Annexure P-3 dated 23.03.2025, learned counsel has submitted that the matter was reported to respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Yamuna Nagar, District Yamuna Nagar seeking his help, however, it had been in vain. Learned counsel submits that the petitioners would be satisfied if directions are issued to respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Yamuna Nagar, District Yamuna Nagar to look into the aforesaid representation and take



appropriate steps at the earliest.

3. Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3 only.

4. On asking of the Court, Ms. Ankita Ahuja, AAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents.

5. At this stage, Mr. Vikram Jeet Singh, Advocate has appeared on behalf of respondent No.4 and filed his memo of appearance.

6. Keeping in view the limited prayer of the petitioners and without commenting upon the status of their live in relationship, the present petition is disposed of with directions to respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Yamuna Nagar, District Yamuna Nagar to look into the aforesaid representation qua the alleged threat perception and take necessary steps, as may be required, in accordance with law, to ensure that the life and liberty of the petitioners is not jeopardized at the hands of the private respondents. However, this direction will have no effect on any civil or criminal action, which could be initiated in the matter in accordance with law.

March 26, 2025
poonam

(H.S.GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No