

115/2

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR-1839-2025

Date of decision: 28.11.2025

NATIONAL HIGHWAYS AUTHORITY OF INDIA

...Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

PARDEEP KUMAR AND OTHERS

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present:- Mr. K. S. Kang, Advocate and
Mr. Arkash Mani Garg, Advocate for the petitioner-NHAI.


JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J. (Oral)

1. The present Revision Petition has been filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India, seeking directions to the learned Additional District Judge, Patiala, for expeditious disposal of the application under Section 36(2) of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 (hereinafter referred to as 'the Act'), filed in the objection petition under Section 34 of the Act, which is pending adjudication.

2. On 12.08.2025, a status report was called from the concerned learned Additional District Judge, with regard to the status of the stay application.

3. As per the report of the Registry, no status report has been received in the Branch.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner-NHAI submitted that as per his instructions, the application under Section 36(2) of the



Act is still pending and therefore, his prayer in the present petition is only to a limited extent for expeditious disposal of the aforesaid application.

5. Considering the aforesaid statement and the limited prayer made by the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner-NHAI, the present Revision Petition is disposed of with a direction to the concerned learned Court, before whom the application under Section 36(2) of the Act is pending, to decide the same as expeditiously as possible and strictly in accordance with law.

28.11.2025
Chetan Thakur

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No