

115/4

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR-1843-2025

Date of decision: 28.11.2025

NATIONAL HIGHWAYS AUTHORITY OF INDIA

...Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

PRITAM KAUR AND OTHERS


...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present:- Mr. Arkash Mani Garg, Advocate for
Mr. K. S. Kang, Advocate for the petitioner-NHAI.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J. (Oral)

1. The present Revision Petition has been filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India, seeking issuance of appropriate directions to the learned Additional District Judge, Patiala, for expeditious and time bound adjudication of the application filed by the petitioner under Section 36(2) of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 (hereinafter referred to as 'the Act').
2. On 12.08.2025, a report was called for from the concerned Additional District Judge with regard to the status of the stay application.
3. As per the report of the Registry, no status report has been received in the Branch.
4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner-NHAI submitted that as per his instructions, the application under Section 36(2) of the Act has been disposed of and therefore, the present Revision Petition may be disposed of as having become infructuous.



5. In view of the aforesaid statement made by the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner-NHAI, the present petition is disposed of as having become infructuous.

28.11.2025
Chetan Thakur

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No