



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

299

CRM-M-16588-2025

Date of decision: May 26th, 2025

Guggu @ Taruwar Mahajan and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Ranbir S. Pathania, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Sahil Chaudhary, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab.

None for respondent No.2.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in the instant petition is for quashing of FIR No.19 dated 30.01.2024 registered under Sections 325/34 of the IPC, 1860 at Police Station Division No.2, District Pathankot, along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 19.02.2024 (Annexure P-2).

2. Vide order dated 26.03.2025 of this Court, the parties were directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate on 25.04.2025 to get their statements recorded regarding the compromise arrived at, between them.

3. Report has since been received from learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Pathankot, in pursuance of the directions of this Court, wherein, the factum of the compromise arrived at between the parties stands verified and confirmed. As per the report compromise

has indeed been effected between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will and the complainant has also made statement to the effect that she would have no objection if the FIR *qua* the accused-petitioners is quashed.

4. The trial Court has annexed the copies of the statements of the parties, along with its report.

5. Learned State counsel too submits that there are no other accused other than the petitioners and respondent No.2 is the only aggrieved person in the FIR in question.

6. In view of the report of the learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Pathankot, and the principles laid down by Hon'ble the Apex Court in *Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303*, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in *Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052*, the instant petition is allowed. The aforesaid FIR and all consequential proceedings arising out of it, are quashed.

7. Needless to say the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below.

May 26th, 2025
Puneet

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No