

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

2025:PHHC:152025



(216)

RSA-3984-1999(O&M)

Date of Decision:-04.11.2025

RAMA NAND

... Appellant

Versus

DALIP SINGH AND ANOTHER

... Respondents

:-

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIRINDER AGGARWAL

Present: None.

VIRINDER AGGARWAL, J. (Oral)

1. None is present on behalf of the appellant. Notice issued to the counsel for the appellant has been received back served but even then no one has put in appearance on behalf of the appellant. It seems that appellant is not interested in pursuing the instant appeal.
2. In such circumstances, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the instant appeal on the docket, indefinitely.
3. Accordingly, the instant appeal stands dismissed for want of prosecution with liberty to the appellant to revive the same, if have any grievance from this order, within a period of three months from today.
4. As a natural corollary, since the main case stands dismissed for want of prosecution, all pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, also stand disposed of, as no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping them pending.

4th November, 2025

S. Pathania

(VIRINDER AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned / speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes / No
Yes / No