



CRM-M-17513-2025 (O&M)

(107+212)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-17513-2025 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 18.11.2025

VIKAS @ VIKASH

... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present: Mr. Keshav Pratap Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. T.P. Singh, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J.

The prayer in the present petition under Section 483 BNSS, 2023 is for the grant of regular bail in case bearing FIR No.507 dated 18.11.2021 registered under Sections 148, 149, 341, 323, 324, 325, 302 and 506 of IPC and Section 25 of Arms Act at Police Station Matlauda, District Panipat.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioner contends that all the material witnesses have been examined and have not supported the prosecution case. The presence of the CCTV footage has been denied by PW-6/Vishal. All the co-accused of the petitioner have been granted the concession of bail. As the petitioner is in custody since 19.11.2021 but only 12 of the 29 prosecution witnesses have been examined so far, the trial of the present case is not likely to be concluded anytime soon and therefore, he is entitled to the concession of bail.

3. On the other hand, the learned State counsel contends that the nature of the allegations levelled against the petitioner does not entitle him to the concession of bail as prayed for. He, however concedes that all the material witnesses have been examined and have not supported the

**CRM-M-17513-2025 (O&M)****-2-**

prosecution case, that the CCTV footage has been denied, that all the co-accused of the petitioner have been granted the concession of bail, that the petitioner is in custody since 19.11.2021 and that 12 of the 29 prosecution witnesses have been examined so far.

4. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

5. Admittedly, all the material witnesses have been examined and have not supported the prosecution case. Whether the remaining evidence is sufficient to establish the culpability of the petitioner and his co-accused shall be adjudicated upon during the course of the Trial. The petitioner is stated to be in custody since 19.11.2021 but only 12 of the 29 prosecution witnesses have been examined so far. Therefore, the Trial of the present case is not likely to be concluded anytime soon. The co-accused of the petitioner have been granted the concession of bail. In this situation, the further incarceration of the petitioner is not required.

6. Thus without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and petitioner-Vikas @ Vikash S/o Ramesh @ Ramesh Chand is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned CJM/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

7. The petition stands disposed of.

18.11.2025

Kusum

**(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE****Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No
Whether reportable:- Yes/No**