



203  
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH

RSA-393-1999 (O&M)  
Date of decision: 30.10.2025

CONSTABLE PURAN CHAND ...Appellant

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: None for the appellant.

Mr. Raj Partap Singh Brar, AAG, Haryana.

\*\*\*\*

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. The present appeal arises from a suit filed in the year 1990 by the present appellant-plaintiff. The First Appellate Court had dismissed the suit of the plaintiff. A perusal of the paper book would show that there is no interim order in favour of the appellant-plaintiff.
2. None had appeared on behalf of the appellant on the last date of hearing and accordingly, notice was issued to the appellant. None has appeared on behalf of the appellant today also to inform the Court as to whether any cause survives in the present appeal or not.
3. Accordingly, the main appeal is disposed of with liberty to the appellant to revive the same in case any cause survives.
4. Registry is directed to send a copy of the present order to the appellant at the address given in the memo of parties.

30.10.2025

Pawan

(VIKAS BAHL)  
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes/No

Whether reportable:-

Yes/No