



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR-2191-2024(O&M)
Date of decision:24.04.2025

Anu Goyal
Versus
Kirpal Singh

..Petitioner
..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr.Devinder Singh, Advocate for the petitioner
Mr. Gurmeet Singh, Advocate for
Mr. S.S.Sarwara, Advocate for the respondent

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J.(ORAL)

In a suit for recovery of amount on the basis of negotiable instrument, the trial court, while granting leave to defend to the petitioner has directed him to furnish surety bonds equivalent to the amount sought to be recovered. The petitioner claims that the court could not have passed such an order. In order to secure execution of the decree if any to be passed in favour of the plaintiff, the court has only ordered the defendant to furnish surety bonds.

Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, no ground to interfere is made out.

Hence, dismissed.

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

24.04.2025
rekha
Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No
Whether reportable Yes/No