



CWP-8296-2025

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(127)

CWP-8296-2025

Date of Decision : 24.03.2025

Kumari Upasana and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Mr. Manish Deswal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Bhupender Singh, DAG, Haryana
for respondent No.1.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J.(ORAL)

1. The indolent and lackadaisical approach of the respondent No.6, in not deciding the application, preferred by the petitioners, seeking compensation on account of death of Dharmender Singh, due to electrocution, propelled the petitioners to file the instant writ petition, under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seeking a mandamus upon the respondents, to pay the compensation.

2. Learned counsel for the State, who is present in the Court, on advance notice of the instant petition, waives service and accepts notice on behalf of the respondent No.1. He informs this Court that the instant petition can be disposed of, with a mandamus upon the respondent No.6, to decide the application dated 14.02.2025 (Annexure P-4), in a time bound manner, in accordance with the policy adopted by the distribution licensee concerned, for grant of compensation, on account of electrocution.



CWP-8296-2025

3. In view of the specific statement suffered by the learned counsel for the State, the instant writ petition is **disposed of**, with a mandamus upon the respondent No.6, to decide the application (supra), so preferred by the petitioners, within a period of three months, from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order/judgment.

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

March 24, 2025
Manpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No