



215

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-16216-2025

Date of Decision: 28.05.2025

Jasvir Singh

...Petitioner

vs.

State of Punjab

...Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat

Present : Mr. Tanheer Singh Bariana, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ravneet Singh Joshi, DAG, Punjab.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 482 of B.N.S.S with a prayer to grant anticipatory bail to him in case FIR No.27, dated 28.03.2023, under Sections 406, 420, 120-B of IPC and Section 13 of Punjab Travel Professionals (Regulation) Act, registered at Police Station Nurmahal, District Jalandhar Rural, Punjab (Annexure P-1).

2. While granting the concession of interim anticipatory bail by this Court on 25.03.2025, this Court had noticed the following contentions:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the allegations were primarily levelled against Amandeep Singh, son of the petitioner and he has been involved in the present case as unfortunately he happens to be father of the main accused. Otherwise, the petitioner had no concern with the allegations by the complainant in the present case. He further contends that Amandeep Singh was apprehended by the police on 07.01.2024 and was arrested in the present case on 10.01.2024 and has

already been granted the concession of regular bail vide order dated 20.05.2024 (Annexure P-5).

Without prejudice to his rights to contest the matter before the Trial Court and just to show his bona fides, the petitioner is willing to deposit a sum of Rs.1,50,000/- with the Trial Court/Area Magistrate within a period of four weeks from today, subject to outcome of the trial.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has already deposited a sum of Rs.1,50,000/- with the Court of Mr. Taranjeet Singh, Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Phillaur on 27.05.2025 and has joined the investigation.

4. On the other hand, learned State counsel also submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is no longer required for further investigation.

5. In view of the above statement made by learned counsel for the parties, the interim order dated 25.03.2025 is made absolute. The petitioner shall continue to join the investigation, as and when called by the Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall also abide by the conditions as specified under Section 482 (2) of B.N.S.S.

6. Pending application(s) stand(s) also disposed of.

28.05.2025
hemlata

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No