



CRM-M-16141-2025

2

has no concern, whatsoever, with the allegations levelled in the FIR and he has been named in the FIR simply because being present at the spot without there being any specific overt act attributed to him. The petitioner is not having any criminal antecedents and the allegations of scuffling with the police party is mainly against accused Amar. Hence, prays for grant of anticipatory bail.

3. *Notice of motion.*

4. *On the asking of the Court, Mr. Praveen Bhadu, AAG, Haryana, present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the State-respondent and prays for time to file the status report/reply in the matter.*

5. *Adjourned to 22.04.2025.*

6. *Needful be done well before the date fixed with an advance copy to the counsel opposite.*

7. *In the meanwhile, the petitioner is hereby directed to join investigation within seven days from today and in the event of his arrest, he is ordered to be released on interim bail on his furnishing personal/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. He shall also abide by conditions as envisaged under Section 482 (2) of BNSS, 2023.*

8. *Investigating Officer of the case to remain present along with record on the next date of hearing. ”*

5. Keeping in view the above submissions made by learned State counsel and the fact that the petitioner had joined the investigation consequent to the order dated 24.03.2025 passed by this Court, interim bail granted vide order dated 24.03.2025 is hereby confirmed, subject to conditions as envisaged under Section 482 (2) of BNSS, 2023. Further the petitioner is directed to join investigation as and when required in future by way of written notice for such purpose to be served by Investigating Officer of this case upon the petitioner; he will not tamper with the evidence nor will



CRM-M-16141-2025

3

influence the witnesses and will not leave the country without prior permission of the Court.

- 6. The petition stands allowed.
- 7. It is made clear that anything contained hereinabove shall not be construed to be an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

22.04.2025
kanika

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |