



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

276

CWP-8096-2023 (O&M)
Date of decision: 23.01.2025

Lavinka

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and Others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Naresh Kumar Kalia and Mr. Lovepreet Singh, Advocates
for the petitioner

Mr. Sehajbir Singh Aulakh, AAG Punjab

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

1. The prayer made in the present petition is for quashing of the impugned letter or refusal dated 22.02.2023, Annexure P-14, vide which the right of the petitioner to be appointed on compassionate appointment has been rejected.

2. Learned counsel submits that the mother of the petitioner was working as Anganwadi worker, passed away on 07.05.2021. Despite the policy dated 07.04.2021, Annexure P-2, being fully applicable, wherein there was no requirement of seeing the domicile, the claim had been rejected on that ground as also that she was not a graduate. In this regard, he states that the result due to Covid-19 pandemic, was delayed for which, no fault can be attributed to her. He thus, at this stage on instructions from the petitioner seeks liberty to supplement her claim by producing the relevant documents.

3. The aforesaid facts having been brought out, during the course of hearing, learned State counsel on instructions states that the respondents would not be averse to have a relook at the matter, taking note of the aforesaid submissions

and decided afresh within a period of 6 months, which satisfies the learned counsel for the petitioner.

4. The matter stands disposed of accordingly and if the petitioner is found entitled, grant the benefit forthwith. Needless to say, if the orders are adverse to her interest, the same may be passed after granting opportunity of hearing to her and shall contain reason, whereupon the petitioner shall be free to seek legal redress thereupon.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

23.01.2025
M.Kamra

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No