



103

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

RSA-607-1998 (O&M)

Date of decision: 12.05.2025

R.D. Pundir

...Appellant

Versus

Haryana State Agricultural Marketing Board

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: None for the appellant.

Mr. Jagdish Manchanda, Addl. A.G. Haryana.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. The plaintiff-appellant had filed a suit in the year 1991 challenging the order dated 29.03.1988 vide which the Chief Administrator, Haryana State Agricultural Marketing Board, Panchkula, had ordered the recovery of Rs.50,000/- from his pay. Further reliefs were also prayed for.

2. The trial Court had decreed the suit. However, the First Appellate Court on appeal filed by the respondent-Board had allowed the appeal and set aside the judgment of the trial Court and had dismissed the suit of the plaintiff.

3. A perusal of the zimni orders would show that no stay has been granted in favour of the appellant. None had appeared on behalf of the appellant on 27.03.2025 and notice was issued to the appellant. However



today, no one has appeared on behalf of the appellant to inform the Court as to whether any cause survives in the present appeal or not.

4. Accordingly, the present appeal is disposed of at this stage with liberty to the appellant to revive the same in case any cause survives to him.

5. Registry is directed to send a copy of the present order to the appellant at the address given in the memo of parties.

6. All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, shall stand disposed of in view of the abovesaid order.

12.05.2025

Pawan

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes/No

Whether reportable:-

Yes/No