

**CRM-M-16651-2025**

221

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH****CRM-M-16651-2025****Date of Decision : 27.10.2025**

Janga alias Jagga Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTHPresent: Mr. Munish Behl, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. P.K. Jhanda, Sr. DAG Haryana

SANJAY VASHISTH, J.

1. Petitioner-Janga alias Jagga Singh has filed instant petition under Section 483 of BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 439 Cr.P.C.), seeking regular bail in case FIR No. 396 dated 22.09.2023 registered under Sections 302, 201, 34 and 120-B IPC at Police Station Pundri, District Kaithal.

2. The deceased Gurdeep Kaur, who was 14 years of age had performed marriage with Rohit, resident of Hajwana against whom FIR No. 331 dated 12.08.2023 under Sections 363, 366-A IPC was got registered by the petitioner himself at Police Station Pundri, District Karnal. Subsequently, one security agent got recorded his statement on 22.09.2023 that he had seen the petitioner while taking dead body of Gurdeep Kaur to the cremation ground. It is thereupon that the petitioner was involved in the instant case for killing of his own daughter.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that only for the reason that

**CRM-M-16651-2025**

the petitioner was taking dead body of his daughter to the cremation ground, it can not be assumed that he himself is responsible/liable for killing of his own daughter. She died her natural death and thereupon she was required to be cremated. Thus, the statement of Sewa Singh in regard to the disclosing of the factum of taking dead body to the cremation ground is wholly irrelevant.

4. On the other hand, learned State counsel points out that as per CCTV footage also the petitioner was noticed taking dead body of deceased Gurdeep Kaur to the cremation ground.

5. On being asked by the Court, no plausible explanation is given by counsel for the petitioner that if it is so recorded in the CCTV footage, what was the hurry for cremation of the dead body, without there being any postmortem examination.

6. Faced with the allegations and after arguing at length, counsel for the petitioner prays for withdrawal of the present petition at this stage.

7. Dismissed as withdrawn at this stage.

October 27, 2025

reena

**(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE**

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: YES/NO
Whether Reportable: YES/NO