


2025:PHHC:039300



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

SR. No.109

CRWP-2893-2025

Date of decision:24.03.2025

Mandeep Singh and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE N.S. SHEKHAWAT

Present: Mr. Hitesh Verma, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

N.S. SHEKHAWAT, J.

1. The petitioners have filed the instant petition with a prayer to direct respondents No.2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners and also to direct the private respondents not to interfere in their lives and liberty.

2. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Sangrur has been wrongly impleaded instead of Senior Superintendent of Police, Barnala. He prays for correction in the memo of parties.

3. Request is accepted. Registry is directed to carry out necessary correction in the memo of parties.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have already submitted a representation dated 19.03.2025 (Annexure P-5) to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Barnala and the petitioners shall be

satisfied if directions are issued to respondent No.2 to look into their representation (Annexure P-5).

5. Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3 only, at this stage.

6. On the asking of the Court, Mr. M.S. Bajwa, DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3.

7. I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

8. In view of the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioners and without expressing anything on the merits and claim of the parties, respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Barnala is directed to depute a senior police officer to look into the representation (Annexure P-5) and after seeking a report, he may pass an appropriate orders, in accordance with law.

9. The parties mentioned in the petition or any other person mentioned in the petition shall be at liberty to take recourse to appropriate law, if any.

10. With these observations, this petition is disposed of.

24.03.2025
mks

(N.S. SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: YES / NO
Whether Reportable: YES / NO