


2025:PHHC:070377-DB



1. LPA-1565-2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 23.05.2025

URMILA

...Appellant

V/S

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondents

2. LPA-1566-2025 (O&M)

NATHU PURI

...Appellant

V/S

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondents

3. LPA-1572-2025 (O&M)

PAWAN KUMAR AND OTHERS

...Appellants

V/S

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondents

4. LPA-1575-2025 (O&M)

TARSEM SHARMA AND ANOTHER

..Appellants

V/S

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondents

5. LPA-1576-2025 (O&M)

SURENDER SINGH AND OTHERS

...Appellants

V/S

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondents

6. LPA-1577-2025 (O&M)

MOHD YUNUS

...Appellants

V/S

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondents

7. LPA-1578-2025 (O&M)

DIMPLE RANI

..Appellants

V/S

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA**

Present: Mr. Avichal Sharma, Advocate for the Appellant(s).

SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA, J.(Oral)

1. Learned counsel fairly submits that the issue raised in the present appeals already stand adjudicated by this Court in LPA-1402-2025, titled as **Preeti Malik and another vs. State of Haryana and others**, decided on 12.05.2025, wherein this Court held as under:-

“4. So far as the present appeal is concerned, the appellants were neither in the list of 667 candidates nor they were included in 178 appointed candidate list. They however, preferred the writ petition with a claim that if 178 persons were offered appointment, they who possess Diploma from Kurukshetra University and were higher in merit than 178 candidates, should be given appointment. It was also submitted that they should be treated at par with 178 candidates and be offered appointment, even if, be considered in ‘diminishing cadre’

5. Learned Single Judge has dismissed the petition, holding that such candidates to be beyond the number of posts and so far as 178 candidates, in our view, are a separate class carved out in a review petition. A scene of approval to the proposal of the State Government to treat such 178 candidates who already stood appointed as a separate class was given in a review order dated 03.07.2023.

6. We are of the firm view that we cannot interfere in the order passed by the Supreme Court. The exercise stands completed and closed after the final order dated 03.07.2023 passed in the

review petition. The claim of the appellants solely on the basis that they are more meritorious than such 178 persons, is found to be without basis as the said 178 persons form a separate class as recognized by the Supreme Court. No place of discrimination or violation of Article 14 of Constitution is thus, made out. The writ petition has been rightly dismissed.”

- 2. In view thereto, the present appeals also stand dismissed.
- 3. All pending applications also stand disposed of accordingly.

(SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA)
JUDGE

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

23.05.2025
rajesh

- | | | |
|-------------------------------|---|--------|
| 1. Whether speaking/reasoned? | : | Yes/No |
| 2. Whether reportable? | : | Yes/No |