



**213 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**RSA-3358-1999(O&M)
Date of decision: 06.02.2025**

Punjab Wakf Board and another

..Appellants

Versus

Punjab State and others

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. G.N.Malik, Advocate
Mr. Shahid Anwer Chaudhary, Advocate
Mr. Sameydeen, Advocate for the appellants

Mr. Ishan Kaushal, AAG, Punjab

Mr. Sandeep Khunger, Advocate
Mr. Saksham Khunger, Advocate for
respondent no.2 and 3

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (Oral)

1. The plaintiffs assail the correctness of the concurrent findings of fact arrived at by the courts below while dismissing their suit for the grant of decree of declaration that the suit property is a Wakf property. In fact, both the courts have dismissed the plaintiffs' suit on the ground that in a previous litigation between the same parties, it has been held that the suit property is not a Wakf property. The judgment passed by the trial court in the previous suit is Ex.D8, which was affirmed by the High Court in RFA-306-1969 decided on 10.09.1975. It was declared that the suit property is not a Wakf property. However, strangely the second suit was filed by the Wakf Board.
2. Learned counsel representing the appellants is unable to dispute the correctness of the aforesaid facts.

3. Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, no ground to interfere is made out.
4. Hence, dismissed.
5. All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

06.02.2025

rekha

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE