

- 1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

215

**CRM-M-16132-2025**  
**Date of decision: 16.07.2025**

**JAGTAR SINGH**

**...Petitioner**

## Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

## ...Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH**

Present : Mr. P.S. Ahluwalia, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Manjinder Singh Bhullar, DAG, Punjab.

**SANJAY VASHISTH. J.(Oral)**

1. Prayer in this petition, filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438 Cr.P.C.), is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, who has been booked in a criminal case arising out of First Information Report, as detailed hereunder:-

| <b>Name &amp; age of Petitioner (s)</b> | <b>FIR No.</b> | <b>Date</b> | <b>Section(s)</b>                               | <b>Police Station</b> | <b>District</b> |
|-----------------------------------------|----------------|-------------|-------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|
| Jagtar Singh, aged about 49 years       | 25             | 23.02.2025  | 109, 125, 3(5) of BNS and Section 25 of Ams Act | Nathana               | Bathinda        |

2. In the instant anticipatory bail petition, on 01.05.2025, following order was passed:-

1. *Prayer in this petition, filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438 Cr.P.C.), is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, who has been booked in a criminal case arising out of First Information Report, as detailed hereunder:-*



| Name & age of Petitioner (s)      | FIR No. | Date       | Section(s)                                      | Police Station | District |
|-----------------------------------|---------|------------|-------------------------------------------------|----------------|----------|
| Jagtar Singh, aged about 49 years | 25      | 23.02.2025 | 109, 125, 3(5) of BNS and Section 25 of Ams Act | Nathana        | Bathinda |

2.

*Learned counsel for the petitioner, inter alia, contends that although, the petitioner has furnished an undertaking before this Court regarding the surrender of both licensed firearms in his possession to the investigating agency, the said exercise could not be completed in the absence of any order directing the petitioner to join the investigation.*
3.

*In order to meet out the objection of learned State counsel, counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner be allowed to join investigation, so that he could cooperate with respect to recovery and surrender of the weapons. Thus, prays for grant of concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in the present case.*
4.

*Learned State counsel has filed status report dated 30.04.2025 in the Court today and the same is taken on record.*
5.

*Adjourned to 16.07.2025.*
6.

*In the meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation as and when required to do so by the Investigating Agency. In the event of his arrest, the petitioner shall be released on ad-interim bail, subject to his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer. The petitioner shall also be abide by all the conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.).*
7.

*Besides, it is directed that petitioner would hand over his passport to the Investigating Agency or to Court concerned, if he possesses. Otherwise, would submit an affidavit, disclosing the fact that he does not possess any passport.*

*It is also directed that before leaving country any time during trial, petitioner would seek prior permission of the Court.”*



3. Continuing his submission, counsel argues that in compliance of the order dated 01.05.2025, passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined the investigation, and has fully co-operated. Thus prays for allowing of the petition by making the order dated 01.05.2025 as absolute.

4. He further submits that the petitioner was in possession of two firearms, one that was allegedly used has already been handed over to the investigating agency, and the other, which was not used, has also been deposited. Even the original passport has also been handed over.

5. Learned State counsel on instructions from the investigating officer, confirms the said averment and submits that the custodial interrogation of the petitioner would not be required now for the purpose of investigation.

6. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

7. Since the petitioner has joined the investigation, custodial interrogation is no more required, present petition is allowed and ad-interim order dated 01.05.2025, passed by this Court is hereby made absolute.

However, petitioner shall continue to join the investigation as and when required to do so and abide by all the terms and conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

8. Accordingly, petition stands disposed of.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)  
JUDGE

16.07.2025  
amandeep

Whether speaking/reasoned. : Yes/No  
Whether Reportable. : Yes/No