



CRM-M-16572-2025

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

214

CRM-M-16572-2025

Date of decision : 03.04.2025

KULWINDER MASIH ALIAS ROSY**... PETITIONER****VERSUS****STATE OF PUNJAB****.. RESPONDENT****CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL**

Present:- Mr. Amandeep Singh Manaise, Advocate with
Mr. Daljeet Singh Randhawa, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Eklavya Darshi, DAG, Punjab.

H.S. Grewal, J.(Oral)

1. The petitioner has come up before this Court under Section 482 of the Bhartiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No.08 dated 28.01.2024 under Sections 452, 323, 324, 326, 427, 506, 148, 149 of the IPC registered at Police Station Fatehgarh Churian, Police District Batala, District Gurdaspur.

2. In compliance of order dated 26.03.2025, learned State counsel has produced the medical record of the complainant. As per the medical report, injury No. 1 has been declared grievous in nature and a fracture has been found after examining the X-ray report. He vehemently opposes the prayer for grant of regular bail to the petitioner.

3. Mr. Kushagra Mahajan, Advocate appears and filed his memo of appearance on behalf of the complainant in the Court today and the same is taken on record. He has opposed the anticipatory bail to the petitioner on the ground that the injuries attributed to petitioner are grievous in nature.



CRM-M-16572-2025

-2-

4. I have heard the submissions of the learned counsel for the parties, and have gone through the file.

5. Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case that the allegations against the petitioner are serious in nature; he caused injury on the right leg of the complainant; after perusal of the medical report it has been found that injury No.1 attributed to the petitioner is grievous in nature, so custodial interrogation of the petitioner is required and the petitioner is not entitled for concession of anticipatory bail. Accordingly, present petition stands dismissed.

6. Anything stated hereinabove shall have no effect on the merits of the case as it is only for the purpose of deciding the present petition. The trial Court shall decide the case on the basis of material available before it.

(H.S.GREWAL)
JUDGE

03.04.2025.

renu

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No