



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-8471-2025

Date of Decision: 01.04.2025

Sukhwinder Singh

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: Mr. Sushane Puri, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Aman Dhir, DAG, Punjab.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226 and 227 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to respondent to release interest on GPF amount which was delayed by respondent.

2. The petitioner was dismissed from service vide order dated 18.11.1996. He challenged said order before different authorities, however, lost. He at that stage was not bothered about contribution of provident fund. The respondent transferred his principal amount alongwith interest to Treasury in 1996. He was finally released payment on 12.07.2024. The petitioner claims that there was lapse on the part of respondent, thus, he is entitled to interest on delayed payment of provident fund.

3. From the perusal of paper book, it is evident that respondent served legal notice on 25.11.2024 and by that date payment was already made.



4. Mr. Sushane Puri submits that aforesaid amount was released on his furnishing affidavit that he will not file any petition with respect to aforesaid amount.

5. Mr. Aman Dhir, DAG, Punjab has clarified that due amount was transferred in the treasury in 1996 which included interest to the tune of Rs. 6,439/-. It was petitioner who did not come forward to collect money from the Treasury.

6. As there is nothing on record disclosing that petitioner ever approached Treasury or other authority during 1996 to 2024, thus, this Court does not find it appropriate to invoke its jurisdiction under Articles 226 and 227 of Constitution of India.

7. Dismissed.

01.04.2025

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)

shivani

JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking

Yes

Whether reportable

No