



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

222

Civil Revision No.2206 of 2024
Date of decision: November 3rd, 2025

Veena Sahi

.....Petitioner

Versus

Anu Sood and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Anmol Puri, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vaibhav Sehgal, Advocate
for respondent No.1.

Mr. Ashish Verma, Advocate
for respondent No.5.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. This is a revision petition under Article 227 of the Constitution of India for setting aside the impugned order dated 20.01.2024 (Annexure P-8) passed by the learned Additional District Judge, Ludhiana, whereby the application under Order 41 Rule 5 CPC filed by respondent No.1 has been allowed and application filed by the petitioner for assessing mesne profits has been disposed of.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that in the present case, vide judgment and decree dated 03.10.2023, the suit filed by the petitioner for possession as well as permanent injunction and recovery of mesne profits, was allowed. It is submitted that against the said judgment and decree, an appeal was filed by respondent No.1 in the year 2023 and cross-objections were filed by the present petitioner making a prayer that the mesne profits, which have been assessed, are on

the lower side. It is submitted that the said case is now fixed for arguments and since the First Appellate Court vide order dated 20.01.2024 had stated that since the cross-objections had been filed by the petitioner against quantification of the mesne profits being on the lower side, thus, it would be in the interest of justice if the issue regarding the assessment of mesne profits is kept pending till the final disposal of the appeal. It is submitted that the main case is now fixed for 23.02.2026 and since the cross-objections of the petitioner regarding enhancement of mesne profits are also alive, thus, it is submitted that, at this stage, the petitioner would make a limited prayer to the effect that the First Appellate Court be directed to decide the main appeal as well as the cross-objections as expeditiously as possible and in a time bound manner. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that learned counsel for the appellant would fully assist the First Appellate Court in expeditious disposal of the case. Learned counsel for the respondents has submitted that the respondents would have no objection to the said course of action. It is however, submitted that the case be decided after hearing all the parties.

3. Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances, the present revision petition is disposed of with a request to the First Appellate Court to decide the appeal as well as the cross-objections filed by respondent No.1 and the petitioner respectively against the judgment and decree dated 03.10.2023 as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of three months from 23.02.2026.

4. As has been undertaken before this Court, all the counsel appearing before the First Appellate Court would fully assist the Court in expeditious disposal of the appeal.

November 3rd, 2025
Puneet

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether reportable : No