



235

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-16298-2025 (O&M)**

**Date of decision : 15.05.2025**

Praveen Kumar @ Pinna

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU**

Present: Mr. Amit Chaudhary, Advocate through V.C.  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Neeraj Sheoran, DAG, Haryana.

\*\*\*\*\*

**MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J.**

Present petition has been filed under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short, 'the BNSS'), for grant of bail pending trial to the petitioner in FIR No.87 dated 09.06.2024, under Sections 201, 395, 397 and 459 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 (for short 'IPC'), registered at Police Station Naggal, District Ambala.

2. Allegations are that petitioner along with other accused persons trespassed into the premises of *de facto*-complainant-Manpreet Singh; inflicted injuries on the person of complainant party and committed dacoity of Rs.1,90,000/- from the almirah of his room.

3. Learned State counsel has produced custody certificate dated 14.05.2025, which is taken on record. Registry to tag the same at appropriate place.



4. Contends that petitioner was not named in the FIR; rather nominated on the basis of disclosure made by Harimohan Singh and he is in custody since 28.06.2024. After investigation, final report under Section 173 of Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (for short 'Cr.P.C.') was presented on 22.08.2024; charges were framed on 05.03.2025, but out of total 15 PWs, none has been examined till date; thus, trial is likely to take sufficient long time.

5. Above factual position is not disputed by Learned State counsel, but opposed the prayer on the premise that allegations against him are serious in nature.

6. Heard both sides and perused the paper-book.

7. Concededly, petitioner is in custody since 28.06.2024; after investigation, final report under Section 173 of Cr.P.C. was presented on 22.08.2024; charges have been framed on 05.03.2025, but out of total 15 PWs, none has been examined till date. Thus, trial is likely to take sufficient long time, hence further incarceration of the petitioner would not serve any purpose.

8. Consequently, present petition is allowed; petitioner shall be admitted to bail on furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned trial Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned.

9. Petitioner shall appear on each & every date of hearing and to fully co-operate with learned trial Court without seeking any unnecessary adjournment(s).



10. The above observations may not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

11. It is clarified that in case there is recurrence or any misuse of concession of bail on the part of the petitioner, State would be at liberty to move an appropriate application for recalling of this order.

Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed off.

**15.05.2025**  
*Harish Kumar*

**(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)**  
**JUDGE**

|                               |     |    |
|-------------------------------|-----|----|
| Whether speaking / reasoned : | Yes | No |
| Whether Reportable :          | Yes | No |