



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

214 RSA-3440-1998(O&M)
Date of Decision: 04.02.2025

Manohar Lal Sahni ...Appellant
V/s
The State of Punjab and others ...Respondents

CORAM : HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL

Present: None for the appellant.
Mr. Rajeev Takkar, DAG, Punjab
for the respondents.

VIKRAM AGGARWAL, J (ORAL)

Today, no one has put in appearance on behalf of the appellant despite case having been called twice.

2. A perusal of the case files shows that notice was earlier issued to the appellant and the same was received back with the report that appellant has died. The counsel representing the appellant had been elevated to the Bench of this Court and thereafter had superannuated.

3. On account of the demise of the appellant, it was primarily the duty of the legal representatives of the appellant to get themselves impleaded in the present *lis*. As they have not come forward, it appears that they may not be interested in pursuing the present appeal.

4. That being so, the appeal is dismissed for non-prosecution. However, liberty is granted to the legal representatives of the appellant to move an appropriate application for revival of the appeal in case they are interested in pursuing the same.

Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

February 04, 2025
vcgarg

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No