



CR-1793-2025

-1-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(120)

CR-1793-2025

Date of decision: - 28.04.2025

Richa Gupta

....Petitioner

Versus

Shashi Kumar and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- None for the petitioner.

Mr. Animesh Sharma, Advocate
for respondents No.1 to 7.Mr. Harsh Mehla, Advocate
for respondents No.23 and 24.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. On 24.03.2025, this Court was pleased to pass the following
order: -

*“Present: Mr. Rahul Singla, Advocate and
Ms. Priyanka Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.*

*Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the
prayer in the present revision petition is for setting aside of the order
dated 28.11.2023 (Annexure P-7) vide which the evidence of the
petitioner has been closed by order. It is submitted that there is no
injunction in favour of the petitioner and thus, delay in the
proceedings would primarily harm the present petitioner. It is further
submitted that the petitioner is ready to compensate the contesting*



CR-1793-2025

-2-

respondents with adequate cost.

Notice of motion to respondent Nos.1 to 6, 20, 21, 23 and 24 for 08.04.2025.

Till the next date of hearing, the final order may not be passed.

Liberty is granted to the petitioner to serve the abovesaid respondents through dasti process as well as through the counsel appearing before the trial Court.

The petitioner would bring an amount of Rs.30,000/- as cost to the abovesaid respondents.

To be shown in the urgent list.”

2. On 08.04.2025, the following order was passed by this Court:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has not complied with the order dated 24.03.2025 and has prayed that more time be granted to comply with the said order.

Learned counsel for respondents no.1 to 7 has submitted that in the said circumstances, the stay order be vacated.

Adjourned to 28.04.2025.

Interim order dated 24.03.2025 stands vacated.

To be shown in the urgent list.”

3. Learned counsel for respondents No.1 to 7 has submitted that even today, the order dated 24.03.2025 has not been complied with.

4. None has appeared on behalf of the petitioner.

5. Dismissed for non-prosecution.

6. Liberty is granted to the petitioner to revive the same after complying with the earlier orders.

April 28, 2025
naresh.k

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No