



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

110

CRWP-2910-2025(O&M)
Date of Decision: 25.03.2025

SUNNY SHARMA AND ANR.

....Petitioners

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS

....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANISHA BATRA

Present : Mr. Jatin, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mrs. Sheenu Sura, DAG, Haryana.

MANISHA BATRA, J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking issuance of a writ of mandamus directing the official respondents no.2 & 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondent No. 4 and take action on their representation dated 05.03.2025 (Annexure P-3).

2. It is submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioners that they are known to each other for last one year. However, petitioner no.1 was already married with respondent no.4. Respondent no.4 was ousted from her in-laws house and she started residing with her parents and respondent no.4 was deserted by her husband since March, 2024. Petitioner no.2 got married with one of Madan Kumar and since there was dispute amongst themselves, therefore, petitioner no.2 is also residing separately and filed the divorce petition which has been allowed by Family Court. Family of petitioner no.1 has accepted their relationship and petitioner no.2 had got divorced from her husband. Therefore, they are now living in live-in-relationship. Apprehending danger to their lives and liberty, they sent



representation dated 05.03.2025 to the respondent No.2 but the same has not been taken into consideration and hence, the present petition.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mrs. Sheenu Sura, DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3, at this stage. The petitioners' counsel is directed to supply the copy of the petition to her.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the petitioners as well as learned State counsel without going into the question of validity of their relationship and without entering upon an exercise to evaluate the veracity of the averments made in the petition and also with regard to the sanctity of relationship or age of the petitioners, this petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondent No.2-The Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana to look into the matter and dispose of the representation dated 05.03.2025 (Annexure P-3) in accordance with law and also to take necessary step, if any, genuine threat to life and liberty of the petitioners is found.

6. A copy of this order along with copy of representation dated 05.03.2025 (Annexure P-3) be sent to respondent No.2, so as to enable him to do the needful expeditiously.

7. It is made clear that this order shall not be taken to protect the petitioners from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by them.

(MANISHA BATRA)
JUDGE

25.03.2025

Deepak Patwal

1.	<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
2.	<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>