



CRM-M-15887-2025

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

212

CRM-M-15887-2025

Date of decision: 21.07.2025

Jasbir Singh

....Petitioner

V/s

State of Punjab

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present: Mr. Sukhmeet Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Jasjit Singh, DAG Punjab.

SUMEET GOEL, J. (Oral)

1. Present petition has been filed under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023 for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.157 dated 24.11.2024, under Sections 304, 3(5), 317(2) of BNS registered at Police Station Model Town, District Police Commissionerate, Ludhiana.

2. On 24.03.2025, the following order was passed:-

“The petitioner is seeking the concession of anticipatory bail under Section 482 of BNSS, in case FIR No.157, dated 24.11.2024. under Sections 304, 3(5), 317(2) of BNS, 2023, registered at Police Station Model Town, District Police Commissionerate. Ludhiana.

Learned counsel for the petitioner, inter alia, contends that allegedly two unknown assailants attacked the complainant with a datar and thereafter. fled away from the scene after snatching her gold ornaments. Leamed counsel has submitted that the petitioner came to be nominated on the basis of a disclosure statement allegedly suffered by co-accused. Mani, who claimed that the weapon of offence had been provided to him by the petitioner and it was the petitioner, who after carrying out recce had masterminded the crime in question.



On a pointed query put to the learned counsel as to whether the petitioner is involved in any other criminal case of identical nature, he has categorically replied in the negative.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. H.S. Deol, Senior DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State and prays for time to address his submissions.

Adjourned to 21.07.2025

Meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation and appear before the investigating agency as and when called upon to do so. In the event of his arrest, he shall be admitted to interim bail on his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall abide by the terms and conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C./482(2) of the BNSS, 2023”

3. Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Pardeep Singh, has stated that pursuant to the order dated 24.03.2025, the petitioner has joined investigation and is no longer required for custodial interrogation.

4. In view of the above, the interim order dated 24.03.2025 passed by this Court is made absolute, subject to the conditions as enumerated under Section 482 of BNSS.

5. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioner indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.

6. Liberty is reserved in favour of State/complainant to move for cancellation/recall of this order in case the petitioner violates any condition stipulated under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023 or upon showing any other sufficient cause.



7. Needless to say that anything observed herein above shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

July 21, 2025
Ajay

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No