



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-8602-2020 (O&M)

Date of Decision : **03.04.2025**

TULI DIAGNOSTIC CENTRE

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CWP-9963-2020 (O&M)

EMC SUPER SPECIALITY HOSPITAL PVT. LTD.

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CWP-9828-2020 (O&M)

DR. PANKAJ SONI

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present : Mr. Vivek K. Thakur, Advocate,
for the petitioner in CWP-8602-2020

None for the petitioner in CWP-9963-2020

Mr. Hargun Sandhu, Advocate for
Mr. S.S.Gill, Advocate
for petitioner in CWP-9828-2020

Mr. Sahil R. Bakshi, AAG, Punjab with
Mr. Shivdarshan Singh, DSP.

Mr. Prikshit Thakur, Advocate for
Mr. Rahul Bhargava, Advocate,
for the complainant.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J.(Oral)

1. Since a common issue is involved in all the three petitions, therefore, all being amenable for a common decision, are being taken up together.
2. Through the instant writ petition filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, a prayer is made for issuance of a direction upon the Vigilance Bureau of Punjab, prohibiting them, not to take any action and to conduction investigation in case FIR No.18, dated 23.06.2020, registered under Sections 307, 336, 270, 420, 467, 468, 471, 188 and 120-B of the IPC and Sections 7, 12 and 13 of the Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988, at Police Station Vigilance Bureau, Range Amritsar (Annexure P-2), on the ground that they have no jurisdiction to inquire into the working of private entities.
3. These petitions are pending since the year 2020, and during this long pendency, much water has flown, as it is informed to this Court by the learned State counsel, on instructions provided to him by DSP Shivdharshan Singh, that investigation in the FIR (*supra*), has already been completed, and thereafter, the charge-sheet/final report has been submitted on dated 01.04.2025.
4. Therefore, in view of the above supervening event, this Court is of the considered view that the asked for relief cannot be granted to the present petitioners. However, in case the petitioners fetch any grievance with regard to the registration of instant FIR, or *qua* the charge-sheet/final report as has already been submitted, they may take other

alternate remedy as available to them, as per law, including, seeking quashing of FIR (*supra*).

5. **Disposed of** accordingly.

6. All pending application(s), if any, also stand **disposed** of accordingly.

A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of the connected case.

April 03, 2025
dharamvir

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned.	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable.	:	Yes/No