



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(206)

RSA-861-2000 (O&M)
Date of Decision:-17.09.2025

ROOR SINGH

... Appellant

Versus

MEWA SINGH AND OTHERS

... Respondents


CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIRINDER AGGARWAL

Present:- None for the appellant.

VIRINDER AGGARWAL, J. (Oral)

1. Despite repeated calls, no one has appeared on behalf of the appellant. This is an old appeal, which has remained pending for an extended period, further reveals that on the last '**two consecutive dates**' as well, there was no representation for the appellant. Such persistent non-appearance demonstrates a clear lack of diligence and indicates an apparent disinterest on the part of the appellant in pursuing the proceedings.

2. In the circumstances, it would serve no useful purpose to keep this appeal pending indefinitely. The time of the Court is a valuable public resource and cannot be expended at the instance of a litigant who has exhibited complete indifference toward the prosecution of the matter. Accordingly, the appeal is dismissed for want of prosecution, with liberty reserved to the appellant to seek revival, if any cause of action arises, by



filing an appropriate application within three months from today, subject to demonstrating sufficient cause for the earlier non-appearance.

3. The principal matter has already been adjudicated, any pending miscellaneous application(s), if filed, stand rendered infructuous and are hereby disposed of.

17.09.2025
Gaurav Sorot

(VIRINDER AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned / speaking?	Yes / No
Whether reportable?	Yes / No